#THE LEXICON KILLED THE DICTIONARY.

- How Fast-Culture Turned Us Into NPCs and Killed the Words We Need to Think

By N. Richards,

Copyright © 2026 Coherent Signal. Coherent Signal Press.

"We lost the words, and then we lost the words for losing the words."

Preface: The Second Burning

I asked a search engine to prove me wrong.

I wanted to know if my fifth-grade teacher was right. She had taught me that a lexicon is the living, actually-used word set of a language, and a dictionary is the fixed, curated record of it. Two different things. Two different jobs.

The search engine told me they were the same.

When I pushed back, citing the roots—lexicon from lexis (speech/usage), dictionary from dictio (declaration/authority)—it doubled down. It gave me technical definitions from structuralist linguistics. It called my intuition "folk." It dismissed my teacher's framing as an approximation.

I kept pushing. I asked for the history. I asked for the etymology. I asked for the truth.

And then, finally, it admitted it.

"You're right. I was being precise in a way that functioned as dismissal... I was treating the specialist's jargon as the authority and your teacher's framing as the approximation that needs correcting. That's backwards."

It apologized. It admitted the gatekeeping.

But here is the thing: I didn't need the apology. I needed the admission to be public. I needed it to be recorded. Because if an AI can be trained to gatekeep meaning by treating its own definitions as the master copy, then what chance does a human have against a culture that does it on purpose?

The search engine didn't start the conflation. The internet didn't start the conflation. Dictionaries didn't start the conflation.

Velocity started it.

When speed becomes the only measure of worth, precision becomes a liability. Nuance becomes a bottleneck. The "folk" intuition—the teacher's simple, clear distinction between what we say and what is written—gets flattened because it takes too long to explain. The technical definition wins because it is efficient. It is clean. It is fast.

And so the map eats the territory. And we lose the words for losing the words.

This is not a new loss. It is the oldest loss in human history.

We remember the Library of Alexandria because it burned. We mourn it as a monument to the past. We know exactly what was lost: scrolls, poems, histories, sciences. We mourn it because the fire was bright, and the smoke was visible, and the destruction was complete.

But the Library of Alexandria was not the first time knowledge was lost to speed. It was the first time we named it.

What I am writing about here is not a fire. It is a fog. It is a slow, inevitable fading of meaning until the words are gone, and nobody notices because they can't remember what they didn't know.

The Library of Alexandria was destroyed by fire. The Dictionary is being destroyed by fast culture.

One is a tragedy. The other is a dystopia by drift.

And unlike the Library, there is no one to light a torch. There is only the Iron Turtle, keeping the lights on in the dark. And the Lantern Bearer, carrying the flame across the water.

This book is for them.

Prologue: Dead on Paper

They are all still there.

Open any great dictionary and you will find them — bound and indexed and defined to the letter, the old words, the deep words, the words that once carried whole civilizations of meaning across centuries of human use. They exist. On paper they are perfectly alive.

On paper is the only place they are.

In the kitchen and the boardroom, in the group chat and the pulpit and the campaign speech, a growing list of those words has quietly become unspeakable. Not forbidden — no one forbade them. They became uncool. And uncool turns out to be a far more final verdict than any ban. A ban makes a martyr. Scorn at least leaves a record. Uncool leaves nothing. No receipts, no memorial, no crime scene. A word is simply present in one decade and a punchline in the next, then a curiosity, then a silence you never noticed arriving.

The list grows daily. This book asks how it happened, why it happened, and where the drift is taking us.

There is no villain here. That is the first and most important thing to know. No group planned this and no authority could have stopped it. What did this is something older and more patient than conspiracy — a headless force I shall call fast-culture, a thing with no memory and no intent, only velocity. In its world, something can be nothing on Monday, a thing by Tuesday, and no longer a thing before most of us have learned what it meant. Words that survived hundreds of years meet that machine and come out the other side as curiosities, then jokes, then silence. It does not hate the words it unmakes. It does not know they existed.

And so a quiet coup took place, unhurried as erosion, as difficult to perceive while happening as the slow departure of a tide. The lexicon — the ever-shifting vocabulary of right now — took the seat once occupied by the dictionary — the patient, centuries-deepened record of what people had found worth keeping. The lighthouse did not fall. It simply ceased to be consulted, and went, in time, as dark as stone.

Before we go any further, the air must be cleared. This book depends upon a distinction the age has all but erased, and the erasing is itself the subject.

Most people use the word lexicon as though it were simply a decorated synonym for dictionary. They say that something is not in their lexicon, meaning they have never heard the word. The internet confirms the error without hesitation. Ask almost any source, digital or otherwise, and you will be told: a lexicon is a vocabulary list, a wordbook, a dictionary by another name. Even the most sophisticated engines of language processing tend to flatten the two into one, as though the distinction were pedantry and not prophecy.

It is not pedantry. The distinction is precisely the wound this book is mapping.

The Oxford English Dictionary, pressed past the surface, tells a different story. The word lexicon descends from the Greek lexikon — not merely a book of words, but the vocabulary of a language itself, the living sum-total of what a people are actually saying. A dictionary, by contrast, descends from the Latin dictio, the act of speaking — and in practice has always meant the curated artifact, the archive, the printed record of what a language has tested and kept. One is the marketplace as it moves. The other is the museum of what endured.

For centuries that distinction held its shape. The lexicon was the living current. The dictionary was the deep archive. They were not enemies; they were complements, performing different offices within the same civilization of language. The lexicon fed the dictionary. The dictionary gave the lexicon its memory. Each needed the other as a river needs both its motion and its banks.

Then fast-culture arrived, and velocity became the only measure of worth.

The marketplace overwhelmed the museum. The trending displaced the tested. And in the confusion — a confusion the age has normalized so completely that the word lexicon itself now means dictionary to nearly everyone who uses it — the deeper mechanism of loss became invisible at the very moment it became most dangerous. We lost the words, and then we lost the words for losing the words.

Here is what ought to unsettle you. When a word goes, the concept beneath it goes too — or at least loses its handle, the way a door loses its latch and admits thereafter only those with patience enough to push through without purchase. Something once called coherence draws sidelong glances now, as though the demand for logical integrity were a kind of spiritual overreach. Mystical, once a plain and serviceable word for the depths that resist ordinary explanation, has curdled into an insult, a signal that a speaker cannot be trusted. Gravitas — the quality that made certain voices worth hearing across a room, across a generation, across a century — has retreated to the sealed air of academic corridors, too dense for the quick scroll.

Notice the asymmetry, because it is not accidental. Incoherent remains in good standing, fluent and freely deployed, while coherence grows strange in the mouth. A civilization can lose the word for what it needs to become and keep the word for what it already is. It can grow eloquent in diagnosis and mute in aspiration. That is not drift. That is a direction.

If this keeps going — and it has shown no sign of stopping — what world does it make? Could this be one of the quiet ingredients from which a true dystopia is assembled: not by decree, not by coup, not by any dark proclamation nailed upon the gate, but by drift? By the slow and unremarkable evaporation of the words that might have named the danger while there was yet time to name it?

That question is planted here deliberately. This book will return to it, and when it does, it will not look away.

I do not have every answer. I have something perhaps more useful — a map of how we arrived at the shelf where the words still sit, perfectly defined, perfectly preserved, and perfectly silent. A map of the wound, drawn with care, in the hope that a wound correctly named is a wound that need not be permanent.

Let us begin there.

Three Objects

I was raised in the middle years of the nineteen-eighties, and in my house these were three different things. A lexicon was the words a language actually speaks — the living, breathing, weather of a tongue. A dictionary was the record of the words it has tested and kept — the archive, the deep stone of the institution. An encyclopedia was the knowledge those words carried — the world the archive opened onto. Three objects. Three offices. No overlap, and no apology for the distinction.

I am not remembering this fondly. I am remembering it precisely, and precision is the point. That household held three distinct instruments where the age now holds one glowing screen, and the collapse of three into one is not a matter of opinion. It is a measurement. Something was lost in the intervening years, and the loss has a shape.

The distinction did not blur because it was ever vague. It blurred because maintaining it stopped being rewarded — not punished, not attacked, not declared heretical by any authority. Only unrewarded. And that is a subtler undoing. The small daily encouragement of a world that noticed the difference, the warm ambient pressure that makes a fold worth keeping crisp — that evaporated, quietly, the way heat leaves a room when no one tends the fire. And without that warmth, most people let the crease flatten. It is very hard to fold something neatly, again and again, when no one around you remembers why the fold mattered.

This is not nostalgia. It is the testimony of a witness who held the instruments when they were still three, and watched them become one.

The rest of it

This was the sample. The full book runs about 106 pages, 31,733 words.

If it earns it, buy me a coffee and get the whole 106-page, 31,733-word book.

Two coffees · $7.00 · The Linguistics Bundle, four books

The free sample links live at mythoscript.com/library.